Appendix 1: MOPAC 2015/16 Quarter One Performance Report

Community Leadership Committee

9 September 2015

Projects

	Project	Lead Agency/Team
1	Young People's Substance Misuse	Youth Offending Service
2	Reducing Substance Misuse Offending	Public Health
3	Enhanced Integrated Offender Management	Probation
4	ASB and Neighbourhood Restorative Justice Project	Victim Support
5	Safer Homes Scheme	Environmental Health

1. Young People's Substance Misuse

	Outcome	Baseline data	Target	Reporting Period: updates
1.1	Reduction in first time entrants to the Youth offending Service	286 per 100k of population (2013/14)	Reduction to 293 per 100k of population	Quarter One: 95 per 100k population (equivalent to an annualised rate of 380) Commentary: The increase in the rate of First Time Entrants comes after a number of successive years on year reductions. In terms of the long term trend the overall direction is downwards and the intention is to maintain strong performance in this area. Barnet YOS have highlighted the need for additional CAMS, speech and language therapy, additional access to mentoring, and school nurse provision to ensure we continue to be well equipped to engage young people before they enter the criminal justice system.
1.2	Reduction in the number of young people committing drug related offending	Number of young people committing drug related offences (2013/14): 42	Maintain or reduce below 19	Q4: Number of young people committing drug related offences: 0 (equivalent to annualised rate of 0). Target met – Q1 performance on line to achieve target

Additional information for updates

Quarter 1

- Barnet YOS underwent a Quality Screening Inspection in June 2014 and received positive commentary from the
 Inspectorate regarding the quality of the assessments, sound intervention planning and the successful delivery of risk based
 interventions. One of the key strengths identified was our comprehensive multi-agency work which includes our work with the
 Young People's Drug and Alcohol Service
- Continuing strong performance against the 'Reduction in the number of young people committing drug related offending' measure both 2014/15 Q4 and 2015/16 performance significantly exceeding target levels and baseline comparison levels.

2. Reducing Substance Misuse Offending

	Outcome	Baseline	Target	Reporting period update
2.1	Increased engagement of drug-related offenders in treatment (increase in the % engagement rate of drug related offenders in treatment)	40% engagement rate (2014/15)	50%	Quarter 1: 77% engagement rate Target met – Q1 performance on line to exceeding target
2.2	Increased engagement of alcohol-related offenders in treatment (increase in the % engagement rate for alcohol related offenders in treatment)	60% engagement rate (2013/14)	63%	Quarter 1: 100% engagement rate Target met – Q1 performance on line to exceeding target
2.3	Increased engagement of substance (alcohol and drugs) misusing offenders in treatment (increase in the % engagement rate for alcohol and drugs related offenders in treatment)	80% engagement rate (2013/14)	88%	Quarter 1: 88% engagement rate Target met – Q1 performance on line to exceeding target
2.4	Increased engagement rate of substance misusing offenders on release from prison (% of substance using prison releases commencing structured treatment following referral)	24% engagement rate (2013/14)	41%	Quarter 1: 17% engagement rate Performance not currently on line to archive target

2.5	A reduction in the re-offending rate of the offender cohort engaged in drug or alcohol treatment	Baseline: Average offending rate 2014/15: 1.8	5% reductio n from baseline	Quarter 1: 0.72 offences per person per year (annualised rate) .
		offences per person per year		This equates to a 59% reduction in offending rate compared to baseline
				Target met – Q1 performance on line to exceeding target

Additional information for updates

Quarter 1

There has been an increase in the proportion of drug related offenders engaging in treatment.

There has been an increase in the proportion of alcohol related offenders engaging in treatment.

There has been an increase in the proportion of substance misuse offenders overall engaging in treatment.

The offenders in the cohort in treatment are demonstrating reductions in offending.

3. Enhanced Integrated Offender Management

	Outcome	Baseline data	Target	Reporting period update
3.1	A reduction in the offending rate for individuals supported by the	Baseline: Average offending rate	A 15% reduction in offending rate of IOM	Quarter 1:
	IOM programme.	2014/15: 2.91 offences per person	cohort compared to the cohort members	1.51 offences per person per year (annualised rate).
		per year	offending rate in the 12 months prior to joining	This equates to 48% reduction in
			the programme	offending rate of IOM cohort members compared to their average offending rate in 12 months prior to joining the programme
				Target met – Q1 performance on line to exceed target
3.2	Proportion of IOM clients who	Baseline to be	75% of IOM clients who	Quarter 1:
	are released on license and a	established in 2015/16	are released on license	80% of IOM clients released on
	plan completed within 10 days of first appointment	2013/10	have a plan completed within 10 days of first appointment	license had plan completed within 10 days of first appointment
				Target met – Q1 performance on line to exceed target

Additional information for updates

Quarter 1

- The IOM is demonstrating strong performance in reducing offending rate of cohort members in comparison to period prior to joining cohort.
- 80% of clients released on license have plans in place within 10 days of first appointment.

4. ASB and Neighbourhood Restorative Justice Project

	Outcome	Baseline data	Target	Reporting period update
4.1	Victims and witnesses of ASB are provided with a victim centred approach	To be created in 2015/16	60	Quarter 1: 34 victims supported (exceeds the level of 15 required to be on track to meet the target by March 2016) Target met – Q1 performance on line to achieve target
4.2	The needs of victims are met and the service has a positive impact on the victim's a) Overall satisfaction b) Needs met c) Confidence d) Other agencies e) Recommend victim support to others	To be created in 2015/16	Overall victim satisfaction 85%	a) Overall satisfaction 78% Target not yet met – marginally below level required to achieve target b) Needs met: 67% c) Confidence: 60% d) Other agencies: 100% e) Recommend victim support to others: 100%
4.3	The Community Trigger is received and independently assessed ¹	To be created in 2015/16	95% of community triggers received are assessed and responded to in the agreed timescale	No community triggers have been received in Q1

_

¹ A Community Trigger was introduced under the new ASB legislation (2014) where a victim or their representative can request a review of their case from the Safer Community Partnership where three reports to the council, police or their social registered landlord have been made in the last six months and no action has been taken OR where five individuals separately report the same issue in within the same six month period and no action is taken.

Additional information for updates

Quarter 1 Update

The principal issue prior to the re-scoping of the project was a lack of referrals, despite a range of efforts to widely promote the service to partners and to the public. During the current reporting period the number of cases being dealt with has increased greatly, with the majority of referrals coming from police, housing (private and council), and internally from Victim Support core services.

This means one of the current priorities for the project has been the recruitment of a larger volunteer team.

- 1. All new volunteers have completed Core training and Restorative Justice Facilitator training. Once shadowing is complete the number of active volunteers will be 6. A student placement assigned to the project is also being recruited.
- 2. As well as working with individual clients two larger cases affecting local communities have been referred to the project. Of the 15 new service users supported in April, 11 related to these 2 cases. One of these cases closed in June, and the other is still on-going. The potential for these larger cases to skew the data should be borne in mind when interpreting the data. For example, the low number of clients completing the survey question relating to confidence in June is because this was not relevant to the community cohesion case that was closed.
- 3. Only one case involving a harmer was closed during the reporting period, and this individual declined to complete an Survey.
- 4. All clients whose cases were closed in a given month were later contacted to participate in an service user survey. Whilst some of the figures for specific measures fall below KPIs the small sample size should be noted.

5. Safer Homes Scheme

	Outcome	Baseline data	Target	Reporting period update
5.1	No of new Safer Homes Scheme recipients in 2014/15	65 (2013/14)	60	Q1, total: 10 (equivalent to annualized rate of 40) This annualized rate based on Q1 falls short of the year-end target, however it is expected that both the rate of referrals and the rate of works completed will increase significantly in Q2.
5.2	Vulnerable and repeat victims of burglary are provided with memo cams	TBC	20 victims	0 This is a new part of the project and is in the initialisation phase.
5.3	A reduction of repeat burglary victims by a factor of 20% over 3 years	2014/15: 7% repeat rate	Reduction by factor of 20% compared to baseline	Repeat rate 5.2% (a reduction against baseline by factor of 25.7%) Target met – Q1 performance on line to achieve target
5.4	Recipients are satisfied with the works carried out in the property.	N/A	100%	Q1: 100% satisfaction (Nb. This figure is provisional as a number of recipients feedback returns for Q1 works are still being awaited) Target met – Q1 performance on line to achieve target
5.5	Recipients reporting that they feel safer in their homes and report a reduced fear of becoming a victim of burglary	N/A	100%	Q1: 100% Target met – Q1 performance on line to achieve target